Progressive Media Impact: Metrics, Evaluation, and Improvement

Gary King

Institute for Quantitative Social Science Harvard University

(talk at The Media Consortium, San Francisco, 10/13/2011)

Gary King (Harvard)

Goals

æ

▲口▶ ▲圖▶ ▲園▶ ▲園▶ -

• Measure public discourse

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse

æ

3 1 4 3 1

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:
 - Informative data now available on the public debate

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:
 - Informative data now available on the public debate
 - Measurement methods: meaning, not word counts

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:
 - Informative data now available on the public debate
 - Measurement methods: meaning, not word counts
 - Experimental designs: avoiding confounding factors

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:
 - Informative data now available on the public debate
 - Measurement methods: meaning, not word counts
 - Experimental designs: avoiding confounding factors
 - Causal effect estimation: less bias & inefficiency

- Measure public discourse
- Estimate the causal effect of the progressive media on discourse
- Learn together how to make the progressive media more effective
- Take advantage of recent dramatic scientific advances:
 - Informative data now available on the public debate
 - Measurement methods: meaning, not word counts
 - Experimental designs: avoiding confounding factors
 - Causal effect estimation: less bias & inefficiency
 - New ways of working together to improve impact

Gary King (Harvard)

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

• On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

Support for Ban on "Partial Birth" Abortion

• Supporters: use "baby"

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

- Supporters: use "baby"
- Opponents: use "fetus"

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

- Supporters: use "baby"
- Opponents: use "fetus"
- In surveys, "baby" increases support for the ban by pprox 30%!

"Do you approve of how George W. Bush is handling his job?"

- On 9/10/2001, 55% of Americans approved of the way George W. Bush was "handling his job as president".
- The next day which the president spent in hiding 90% approved.
- Was this massive opinion change, or did the 9/11 frame change how we viewed the question?
- The frame: imposed by events, not the media
- Public opinion polls: measuring what?

- Supporters: use "baby"
- Opponents: use "fetus"
- In surveys, "baby" increases support for the ban by \approx 30%!
- Control the frame & you control the debate and policy outcome

æ

B ▶ < B ▶



Gary King (Harvard)

4 / 11

э



• When "baby" is used in the media, support for PBA ban increases



- When "baby" is used in the media, support for PBA ban increases
- Did the media influence public support or did public support influence the media?



• When "baby" is used in the media, support for PBA ban increases

• Did the media influence public support or did public support influence the media? How can we tell?



- When "baby" is used in the media, support for PBA ban increases
- Did the media influence public support or did public support influence the media? How can we tell?
- Do "baby" and "fetus" word counts even measure what we intend?

Gary King (Harvard)

2

・ロト ・聞ト ・ ほト ・ ほト

Data on Public Discourse

æ

B ▶ < B ▶

Data on Public Discourse

• Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week
 - Growing sources: blogs, Twitter, Facebook, forums, chat rooms, etc.

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week
 - Growing sources: blogs, Twitter, Facebook, forums, chat rooms, etc.

Data on Media Outlets

Work together to find or create
The Plan, 1: Collect New Data

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week
 - Growing sources: blogs, Twitter, Facebook, forums, chat rooms, etc.

Data on Media Outlets

Work together to find or create

• Existing data on outputs (web traffic, donations, comments, emails, phone logs, etc.)

The Plan, 1: Collect New Data

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week
 - Growing sources: blogs, Twitter, Facebook, forums, chat rooms, etc.

Data on Media Outlets

Work together to find or create

- Existing data on outputs (web traffic, donations, comments, emails, phone logs, etc.)
- Existing data on content: e.g., tagged story databases, web artifacts, listener information, etc.

The Plan, 1: Collect New Data

Data on Public Discourse

- Until recently, measures of public discourse were inadequate: public opinion polls, content analyses of newspaper editorials; systematic, real time, informative data nonexistent
- Now:
 - Hallway conversations appear in the 1.4B social media posts a week
 - Growing sources: blogs, Twitter, Facebook, forums, chat rooms, etc.

Data on Media Outlets

Work together to find or create

- Existing data on outputs (web traffic, donations, comments, emails, phone logs, etc.)
- Existing data on content: e.g., tagged story databases, web artifacts, listener information, etc.
- New systematic data from texts of stories, audio-to-text, etc.

- Until recently, methods were inadequate:
 - Misleading word counts

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information
 - Better than humans alone, or computers alone, we amplify human intelligence

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information
 - Better than humans alone, or computers alone, we amplify human intelligence
 - Works fast, in near real time

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information
 - Better than humans alone, or computers alone, we amplify human intelligence
 - Works fast, in near real time
 - Can measure media frames (about politicians or policies) by volume, sentiment, topics, perspectives or any categories we think of

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information
 - Better than humans alone, or computers alone, we amplify human intelligence
 - Works fast, in near real time
 - Can measure media frames (about politicians or policies) by volume, sentiment, topics, perspectives or any categories we think of
 - Measure as far back in time as feasible (6-24 months?)

- Misleading word counts
- Impossible amounts of reading
- Now, new statistical methods for text analytics:
 - Accurately summarize huge volumes of information
 - Better than humans alone, or computers alone, we amplify human intelligence
 - Works fast, in near real time
 - Can measure media frames (about politicians or policies) by volume, sentiment, topics, perspectives or any categories we think of
 - Measure as far back in time as feasible (6-24 months?)
 - Design systematic measurement going forward

E

(日) (周) (三) (三)

• How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?

-∢∃>

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?
 - Classify by hand: infeasible for more than a sample at one point in time

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?
 - Classify by hand: infeasible for more than a sample at one point in time
 - Guess what words imply which categories: inaccurate

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?
 - Classify by hand: infeasible for more than a sample at one point in time
 - Guess what words imply which categories: inaccurate
 - Use "machine learning" methods with hand-coded training set to automatically classify: at best 60-70% accuracy (useful for Google searches, useless for category percentages)

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?
 - Classify by hand: infeasible for more than a sample at one point in time
 - Guess what words imply which categories: inaccurate
 - Use "machine learning" methods with hand-coded training set to automatically classify: at best 60-70% accuracy (useful for Google searches, useless for category percentages)
 - Use new statistical method with hand-coded training set to estimate category percentages (without individual classifications): Extremely accurate

- How can humans understand large numbers of social media posts (or news stories)?
 - Read & interpret: infeasible
 - Sort into a few categories; track category percentages over time
 - Example of categories: Wisconsin budget standoff is (1) a responsible effort to fix budget deficit, (2) an attack on public sector workers, (3) an attack on the progressive movement generally, or (4) due to an arrogant publicity-hungry Governor
- How to sort billions of social media posts into categories?
 - Classify by hand: infeasible for more than a sample at one point in time
 - Guess what words imply which categories: inaccurate
 - Use "machine learning" methods with hand-coded training set to automatically classify: at best 60-70% accuracy (useful for Google searches, useless for category percentages)
 - Use new statistical method with hand-coded training set to estimate category percentages (without individual classifications): Extremely accurate
 - → Finding the needle in the haystack (Google search) ≠ characterizing the haystack, and so new methods were required => <=> <=> <=> <=> <=><<

Gary King (Harvard)

B ▶ < B ▶

• Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)
 - Help inform what experiments to run

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)
 - Help inform what experiments to run
- We'll use new methods to:
The Plan, 3: Use New Methods of Causal Inference for Observational Data

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)
 - Help inform what experiments to run

We'll use new methods to:

• Estimate the effect of progressive media on use of media frames, & sentiment about them, in public discourse

The Plan, 3: Use New Methods of Causal Inference for Observational Data

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)
 - Help inform what experiments to run

We'll use new methods to:

- Estimate the effect of progressive media on use of media frames, & sentiment about them, in public discourse
- Develop strong testable hypotheses about what do next

The Plan, 3: Use New Methods of Causal Inference for Observational Data

- Until recently: Estimating causal effects from observational data was very risky and often illusory
- Now, new methods:
 - Give strong hints about causal relationships
 - Reveal all hidden assumptions (ignorability, interference, etc.)
 - Help inform what experiments to run

We'll use new methods to:

- Estimate the effect of progressive media on use of media frames, & sentiment about them, in public discourse
- Develop strong testable hypotheses about what do next
- We'll do everything that can be done this way before turning to experiments (and using your time!)

æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past

B ▶ < B ▶

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders
- How experiments fail:

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders
- How experiments fail:
 - Insensitivity to research subjects, political interests, or local context

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders
- How experiments fail:
 - Insensitivity to research subjects, political interests, or local context
 - Examples: Drug trials; Mexico's Anti-Poverty program

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders
- How experiments fail:
 - Insensitivity to research subjects, political interests, or local context
 - Examples: Drug trials; Mexico's Anti-Poverty program
 - → solutions always exist; we'll find them together

ヨト イヨト

- Observational analysis: some evidence of what might have worked in the past
- Experiments: gold standard for evaluating causal claims
- Experiments benefits from: investigator control (usually randomized) over the "treatment" (drugs, stories)
- What randomized treatments get us: a variable unrelated to *all* confounders
- How experiments fail:
 - Insensitivity to research subjects, political interests, or local context
 - Examples: Drug trials; Mexico's Anti-Poverty program
 - $\bullet \; \rightsquigarrow$ solutions always exist; we'll find them together
- New experimental designs: let randomization survive disruption

▶ < ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

æ

(日) (同) (三) (三)

• Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:

Image: Image:

3 K K 3 K

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)
- Experiments will

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)
- Experiments will start small (probably individual media outlets)

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)
- Experiments will start small (probably individual media outlets), be sequential (each will build on the previous)

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)
- Experiments will start small (probably individual media outlets), be sequential (each will build on the previous), and grow in extent (larger experiments, with more outlets, as we learn more)

글 > - + 글 >

- Examples of how to experiment without infringing on editorial discretion:
 - Offer optional small grants to media outlets to encourage covering particular stories (everyone will get a chance, no requirements, all decision making over content remains with organization)
 - Find otherwise arbitrary decisions to randomize (e.g., a story we're indifferent to being above or below the fold; the order of stories to present)
 - Example: Mexico's Health Insurance evaluation
- Our scarcest resource: your time (so observational work first)
- Experiments will start small (probably individual media outlets), be sequential (each will build on the previous), and grow in extent (larger experiments, with more outlets, as we learn more)
- Our goal: Turn evidence of what has worked into increasingly effective strategies

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

GKing.Harvard.edu



Gary King (Harvard)

11 / 11

B ▶ < B ▶